

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2088 of 2013

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 20231 of 2012

- =====
1. Anandi Prasad Son Of Late Yamuna Singh Resident Of Village- Bhagwan Bigha, P.S.- Ekangar Sarai, District- Nalanda
 2. Chandra Mani Mistri Son Of Late Bisheshwar Mistri Resident Of Village Hasepur, P.S.- Aungari, District- Nalanda
 3. Anuj Prasad Son Of Late Rajendra Prasad Resident Of Village- Hasepur, P.S.- Aungari, District- Nalanda

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Ashok Kumar Sinha, The Chief Secretary, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. Ashok Kumar Sinha Son Of Not Known To The Petitioners Chief Secretary Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. Amarjeet Sinha Son Of Not Known To The Petitioners Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
4. Ashutosh Son Of Not Known To The Petitioners Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna
5. Janardan Singh Son Of Not Known To The Petitioners Regional Deputy Director, Patna Division, Patna
6. Kundan Kumar Son Of Not Known To The Petitioners District Magistrate, Nalanda
7. Dev Sheel Son Of Not Known To The Petitioners District Education Officer, Nalanda
8. Ashok Kumar Singh Son Of Not Known To The Petitioners District Programme Officer, Nalanda
9. Anil Kumar Sharma Son Of Not Known To The Petitioners Block Education Extension Officer, Parwalpur, Nalanda
10. Shri Kant Singh Son Of Not Known To The Petitioners Block Development Officer, Parwalpur, Nalanda

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rakesh Narayan Singh, AC to AAG-15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 28-06-2017

Inter alia contending that the order passed by the learned Writ Court on 10.12.2012 in C.W.J.C. No.20231 of 2012 has



not been complied with, this application for initiating action for contempt has been filed.

The Principal Secretary of the Department was directed to take action and decide the claim of the petitioner and from the show cause filed by the respondents, it is seen that the Principal Secretary has passed a detailed order in the matter, as is evident from the supplementary counter affidavit filed by the respondents, and in view of the same now no further action is required to be taken in these contempt proceedings.

In case the petitioner has any grievance still subsisting after the orders were passed by the Principal Secretary and the action taken by the respondents, the petitioner may challenge the same in accordance with law in an appropriate proceeding.

With the aforesaid liberty, this application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	1.7.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

