

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.254 of 2015
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18045 of 2013

=====

Brinda Devi W/o Ashok Kumar Yadav Resident of Village Mateli
Khemchand, P.S. Rupauli, District Purnea.

.... Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Director, Primary Education, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
3. District Education Officer, Purnea, District Purnea.
4. District Programme Officer (Establishment), East Sadar, Purnea.
5. Block Education Officer, East Sadar, Purnea.
6. Ashok Kumar Yadav S/o Late Bhuvaneshwar Yadav R/o Village Mateli
Khemchand, P.S. Rupauli, District Purnea, At present Mohalla
Emergency Colony Railway Katihar Quarter No. 732/G, District
Katihar.
7. Smt. Bhibha Devi W/o Ashok Kumar Yadav At Emergency Colony,
Railway Quarter No. 732/G, P.O. + P.S. Katihar, District Katihar.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Tuhin Shankar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.S.P. Yadav, SC-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
And
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)
Date: 21-06-2017

Heard learned counsel for the appellant and
learned counsel for the State.

It is the stand of the learned counsel for the
appellant that the order dated 11.11.2014 passed by the
learned Single Judge was not with due consent of the
appellant, who was the petitioner. The order was passed
on the basis of concession made by the respondent no.6.

Such submission can best be appreciated by
the learned Single Judge, who has passed the impugned



order dated 11.11.2014, for which at best a review or modification petition can be moved. Nothing can be done in the Letters Patent Appeal on the basis of the submission so made.

This Letters Patent Appeal stands dismissed, accordingly with liberty as above.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/N.A.F.R.

U			
---	--	--	--

