

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.46 of 2015

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1127 of 2014

=====

Naresha Nand Thakur S/o Late Kulanand Thakur, R/o Village- Jhakhara,
P.S. Shambhuganj, District Banka

.... Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Commissioner cum Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, New Secretariat, Patna
3. The Additional Secretary, Secondary Education Department, New Secretariat, Patna
4. The Special Director (Sanskrit), Secondary Education, New Secretariat, Patna
5. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Boring Canal Road, Patna
6. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Boring Canal Road, Patna
7. The District Education Officer, Banka, District- Banka null null
8. The District Magistrate, Banka, District Banka

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Maruth Nath Roy, Advocate
Mr. Arvind Ujjawal, SC-4
For the Board : Mr. Satyam Shivam Sundaram, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

And

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 17-05-2017

Heard learned counsel for the appellant,
learned counsel for the Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board and
learned counsel for the State.

In fact, this was one of those cases where a
cost was to be imposed upon the appellant for not coming
before the court with clean hands. No interference is



warranted with the impugned order dated 23.01.2014, because the same is based on the rule in vogue and the procedure as well as eligibility, which is required for according recognition to a Sanskrit School. The relevant extract of the rules of 1993 is reflected in the impugned order itself.

Thus, we find no merit in this appeal. It is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

(Nilu Agrawal, J.)

Rajeev/NAFR

U			
---	--	--	--

