

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.457 of 2013

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 14067 of 2012

=====

Sakina Khatoon, W/O Md. Jalaluddin, R/O Vill+P.O.-Morba Bazar, P.S.-Tajpur,
Distt-Samastipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mr. Kundan Kumar, the District Magistrate, Samastipur.
3. Jai Shankar Pd. Srivastava, the Director Education Officer, Samastipur.
4. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, the District Education Appointment Appellate Authority, Samastipur.
5. Ramanand Yadav, the Block Education Extension Officer, Morba, P.S.-Tajpur, Distt-Samastipur.
6. Mira Devi, the Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat, Morba Uttari, Tajpur, Samastipur.
7. Rabindra Paswan, The Panchayat Sachiv, Gram Panchayat Morba Uttari , P.S.-Tajpur, Distt-Samastipur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Harun quareshi, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kameshwar Kumar, G.P.-17
Mr. S. K. Ranjan, AC to G.P.17

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 29-03-2017

Once the respondents have examined the case of the petitioner and rejected the candidature of the petitioner, the validity of the rejection cannot be subject matter of consideration in this contempt proceeding. The direction issued in the original writ petition being CWJC No.14067 of 2012 was to consider and take a decision. Once the decision is taken, in case, the petitioner has any grievance with regard to manner in which the consideration is made and decision is taken, it gives a fresh cause to agitate the matter afresh in accordance with law,



but not a case for initiating a proceeding for contempt.

Finding no case for initiating a proceeding for contempt,
the application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

Sunil/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	31.03.2017
Transmission Date	

