

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3728 of 2014

In
C. REV. 15 of 2012

=====

1. Smt. Renu Saha D/o Laxman Prasad Saha R/o Village+P.O.-Kasaba,
District Purnia at present residing at C/o Sri Dharmendra Prasad Saha, Raj
Nagar, Dak Bunglow Chowk, P.S. + District Purnia

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director in Chief, Health Services, Govt of Bihar, Patna Dr Surendra Prasad
2. The Secretary cum Commissioner/ Principal Secretary, Department of Health, Govt of Bihar Patna Mr. Dipak Kumar
3. The Civil Surgeon cum Cheif Medical Officer, Purnea Dr S.S. Jha

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar Verma
For the State : Mr. Anjani Kumar, AAG 4
Mr. Sanjay Kumar, AC to AAG 4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 24-05-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

In the present case, petitioner has made a complaint for
non-compliance of the order dated 24.4.2013 passed in Civil
Review No.15 of 2012. This Court has passed the following
order in the aforesaid review petition:

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that since it could not be placed on record that
petitioner’s name was appearing in 1991 panel
also, this Court held that he was not covered by the
general directions of Justice Uday Sinha. He
submits that, under Right to Information Act,
petitioner has obtained information, which shows
that his name indeed figured in 1991 panel. Hence,
he submits that the petitioner should be held to be*



governed by the said general directions of Justice Uday Sinha.

If from unimpeachable records petitioner is able to establish that his name figured in 1991 panel also, from which, according to the petitioner, some appointments have later on been made in terms of general directions of Justice Uday Sinha, the order of this Court shall not come in the way of the authorities in considering the case of the petitioner also in the light of general directions of Justice Uday Sinha.

With the above observations, this modification application is disposed of.”

In pursuance of the direction of this Court the Director-in-Chief, Health Services, Bihar, Patna vide memo no. 1039(4) dated 26.11.2014 has considered the case of the petitioner and rejected the same.

In such circumstances, no case of contempt is made out against the opposite parties. If the petitioner feels aggrieved, he may take legal course as available in law.

With the aforesaid observation this application is disposed of.

Vinay/-

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

U			
---	--	--	--

