

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18385 of 2008

- =====
1. Rajeev Nayan son of Late Naresh Chandra Prasad Singh, C-7, Bank Men's Colony, Chitragupta Nagar, Kankarbagh, Patna-20.
 2. Sanjay Kumar, son of Late Ram Bilas Singh, Mohini Kunj, Moh. – Kumharar Gumti, Near Anjali Flame Gas Godown, PO – Mahendru, PS – Bahadurpur, District – Patna – 800006.
 3. Shreeman Narain Sharma, son of Ramesh Sharma, Nawlakha Mandir Lane, Charitraban, Buxar – 802101.
 4. Pradeep Kumar @ Pradip Kumar, son of Deo Narayan Yadav, Resident of village = post – Hasanpur via – Singheshwar Asthan District Madhepura.
 5. Ram Balak Kumar, son of Purna Nand Prasad, Resident of Village Phulparas, Post – Siswar Bazar, District – Madhubani.
 6. Pankaj Kumar, son of Bhai Chandra Mishra, C/o VCP Chaudhary Lodge, Bank Men's Colony Chitragupta Nagar Kankarbagh – Patna 20.
 7. Md. Zuber son of Md. Kamruddin Resident of Shamshad Dhapi Centre, Mehtab Market, Sadar Gali, PS Khajekalan Patna City – 8.
 8. Ashok Kumar Jha, son of Ramdeo Jha, B/27, Bank Mens Colony Chitragupta Nagar, Kankarbagh – Patna – 20.
 9. Ravi Ranjan, son of Indu Bhushan, Resident of Village – Bareo (Dudhali) Post – Farha, Police Station – Akbarpur, District – Nawada.
 10. Prasun Kumar Singh, son of Sita Ram Singh, Anand Communications, First Flight Courier, Bazar Samitee, New Gas Godown, Patna.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Deputy Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar
Mr. Kundan Kumar, AOR No. 02714

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sanjay Pandey
Mr. Vikash Kumar
Mr. Vipin Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2. 16-03-2017 Sri Kundan Kumar, learned counsel, on instruction of
- Sri Ranjeet Kumar, learned counsel for petitioners, in presence of
- learned counsel for other side, submits that by efflux of time, the



writ petition has become infructuous.

Accordingly, it is dismissed as same has become infructuous.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--

