

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.43917 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -125 Year- 2017 Thana -NANHPUR District- SITAMARHI

=====

1. Satya Prakash @ Ankit, Son of Akhilesh Chaudhary @ Raju, Resident of Village Barari Behta, P.S.- Pupri, District- Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Binod Kumar 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-09-2017 Heard the parties.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Nanpur P.S.Case No.125 of 2017 registered for offences punishable under Sections 404, 414/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner, as per FIR, is that the police intercepted one motorcycle and arrested the petitioner and other co-accused persons on chase and further there is recovery of one airgun and other articles from the possession of the petitioner.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that he has been falsely implicated in this case and nothing has been recovered from his possession and thereafter he has been made accused in one more case. He is in custody for about 4 ½ months.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.



Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of S.D.J.M., Pupri at Sitamarhi in connection with Nanpur P.S.Case no.125 of 2017.

With following conditions :

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) The petitioner shall co-operate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is allowed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

