

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.31739 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -110 Year- 2016 Thana -AKHODHIGOLA District- SASARAM
(ROHTAS)

=====

1. Singashan Ram @ Singhashan Ram, son of Late Sadhu Ram, resident of
Village- Jadwan, P.S.- Akorhigola, District- Rohtas.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hari Mohan Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-07-2017 Heard the parties.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Akorhigola P.S.Case No.110 of 2016, registered for offences punishable under Sections 399, 402 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25(1-b)A, 26/35 of the Arms Act.

Alligation as per F.I.R. is that on informantion that some persons are making preparation of dacoity, the police raided the place of occurrence and further the case is that the petitioner was arrested on chase and there is recovery of one country-made pistol and cartridges.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that he has been falsely implicated in this case by the police and nothing has been recovered. The petitioner is in custody for more



than nine months.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of S.D.J.M., Dehri-on-sone in connection with Akorhigola P.S.Case No.110 of 2016 dated 15.10.2016.

With following conditions :

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) The petitioner shall co-operate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is allowed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/-

U			
---	--	--	--

