

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8454 of 2015

=====

Ramanuj Sharma son of late Puran Singh resident of Village- Nathupur
P.O.Durghara, P.s Bihta, District Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Transport Department Government of Bihar.
3. The Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Pariwahan Bhawan, Patna.
4. The Administrator, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Pariwahan Bhawan, Patna.
5. Regional Provident fund Commissioner, Regional Provident fund Authority, R. Block, Patna, Bihar.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ujjwal Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Nishant Kumar Jha, AC to SC-28
For BSRTC : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Verma, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Jainenda Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For respondent no. 5 : Mr. Rajeev Kumar Verma, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Rajoday Satyjeet, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 03-11-2017

Realizing difficulty in getting pension, learned counsel
for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is confining his prayer
in the present writ petition to the extent that the amount deposited by
him as contribution under the pension scheme be refunded to him



with interest.

2. Having regard to the nature of the claim made by the petitioner, I deem it appropriate that the petitioner should file a representation before the Chief Accounts Officer, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Patna regarding payment of contribution under the pension scheme first. If such a representation is filed, the Chief Accounts Officer shall be required to examine and dispose of the same by a reasoned and speaking order within a period of three months from the date of filing of representation along with a certified copy of the order. In case, any amount is found payable, the same should be paid to the petitioner within the aforesaid stipulated period of three months. In case, the claim of the petitioner is rejected, the reason assigned in the order for refusal of the claim must be communicated to the petitioner on his postal address without any delay.

3. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J.)

Kanchan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	07.11.2017
Transmission Date	NA

