

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5341 of 2015

=====

Yogendra Baitha, Son of Suraj Baitha, Resident of Village- Musahari,
P.O.+P.S.- Musahari, Sub-division East, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate- cum- Collector, Muzaffarpur.
3. Deputy Collector land Reforms- cum-Competent Authority under the Bihar Land Disputes Act, 2009, East Muzaffarpur, Muzaffarpur.
4. Mahendra Ram, Son of Gulam Ram, Resident of village- Musahari, P.O.+P.S.- Musahari, Sub-division East, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ranjan Kumar Singh, AC to PAAG-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

02/ 06-10-2017

Heard Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ranjan Kumar Singh, learned AC to PAAG-2.

The present Writ application has been filed for directing the respondent authorities, particularly respondent no. 3, Deputy Collector Land Reforms, East Muzaffarpur to dispose of B.L.D.R. Case No. 88/2013-14 and to restore the possession of the petitioner over the land appertaining to R.S. Khata No. 300, R.S. Plot No. 1450, Thana No. 475, measuring an area of 20 decimals situated in village – Mushahari, District – Muzaffarpur.

A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of



respondent no. 3 making specific statement in paragraph 4 that B.L.D.R. Case No. 88/2013-14 has been disposed of vide order dated 31.03.2015. Copy of the same has been brought on record as Annexure-A to the counter affidavit.

In the circumstances, the Writ application has become virtually infructuous. Accordingly, the Writ application is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail the alternative remedy available to him, if aggrieved by the order passed in B.L.D.R. Case No. 88/2013-14.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

DKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--

