

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.959 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8483 of 2013

- =====
1. Usha Devi, Wife of Late Gopal Singh, Resident of village - Naudiha, P.S.- Khaira, District - Jamui.
 2. Shakuntala Devi, Wife of Sita Ram Mehtar, Resident of village - Ujhandi, P.S.& District - Jamui.
 3. Birendra Kumar Tanti, Son of Sri Ganesh Tanti, Resident of village - Kalyanpur, P.O.+ P.S. & District - Jamui.
 4. Brahamdeo Tanti, Son of Sri Ganesh Tanti, Resident of village - Kalyanpur, P.O.+ P.S. & District - Jamui.
 5. Ramchandra Yadav, Son of Tulsi Yadav, Resident of village - Numar, Tola - Bakhari, P.S.- Malaypur, District - Jamui.
 6. Sushil Kumar Sinha, Son of Sri Baldeo Prasad, Resident of village - Suggi, P.S. & District - Jamui.
 7. Arvind Kumar, Son of Late Mahendra Prasad, Resident of village - Suggi, P.S. & District - Jamui.
 8. Sanjay Kumar, Son of Narayan Mandal, Resident of village - Harnarayanpur, P.S. & District - Jamui.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mr. D.S. Gangwar, the Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Amrit Lal Meena, the Principal Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Rameshwar Singh, the Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Anil Kumar, the Divisional Commissioner, Munger.
6. Mr. Shashi Kant Tiwary, the District Magistrate, Jamui.
7. Mr. Mirtunjay Kumar, the Deputy Development Commissioner, Jamui.
8. Mr. Chaudahary Anant Narayan, the Additional District Magistrate, Jamui.
9. Mr. Ravi Kumar Rakesh, the In-charge Officer, Nazarat, Jamui.
10. Mr. Ramendra Kumar Singh, the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Jamui.
11. Mr. Anil Kumar, Block Development Office, Jamui.
12. Mr. Ajit Kumar Singh, Circle Officer, Jamui.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : None

For the S t a t e : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, A.C. to G.P.-23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER



3 07-12-2017

No one appears for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the State is present.

A show cause has been filed on behalf of O.P. No.3 as well as on behalf of O.P. No.6.

It appears from the record of the case that previously also the petitioners had moved this Court in M.J.C. No.2050 of 2014, which was disposed of on 25.03.2015, leaving open to the petitioners to challenge the order of the Collector of Jamui District, in accordance with law.

The present contempt application is a second contempt for the same reason.

The contempt application is, thus, misconceived and is dismissed.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

PNM/-

U			
---	--	--	--

