

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10947 of 2015

=====

Om Aqua & Engineering Private Limited through its Managing Director,
Om Prakash Singh, Son of late Ramprit Prasad Singh, Resident of Village
Bhagwanpur Ratti, P.O. Balukaram, P.S. & District Vaishali at Hazipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hazipur.
3. General Manager, Zila Udyog Kendra, Vaishali at Hazipur.
4. Dena Bank through its Zonal Manager, Dena Bank, Second Floor, N.P. Tower, Kankarbhag, Dist. Patna.
5. Branch Manager, Dena Bank, Hazipur Branch, First Floor, Kanhauli Complex, Hospital Road, Hazipur, Dist. Vaishali.
6. Credit Manager, Dena Bank, Zonal Office, N.P. Tower, Kankarbagh, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Prasad Singh, Adv.

For the Bank : Mr. S.K. Roy, Adv.

For the State : Mr. Nawal Kishor Singh, AC to GP-26

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 04-02-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and counsel for
the State.

A very limited grievance has been raised by the petitioner
that he had applied for the loan and there is a recommendation in his
favour but, in spite of that, the Bank has not granted the loan. He has
already invested sufficient amount for installation of the plant as he
has been informed an approval has been granted by the officers
including the authority of the Central Government has given
recommendation in his favour, in spite of that, the authority of the



Bank is not moving forward neither accepting nor rejecting the application of loan.

During pendency of this writ application, the petitioner has also moved before the Ombudsman of the Bank raising identical grievance as the Ombudsman is the competent authority to consider the grievance with the Bank.

In that view of the matter, let the Ombudsman decide the case of the petitioner on merit in accordance with law and dispose of the same without unnecessary delay.

This Court has not given any opinion on the merit of the case.

With the aforementioned observation, this application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	07.02.2017
Transmission Date	

