

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.27765 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -306 Year- 2017 Thana -GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP. District-
SITAMARHI

=====

1. Dilip Kumar Singh S/o late Kishori Singh, Resident of Village- Narha,
P.S. Mejorganj, District- Sitamarhi.
2. Satyendra Paswan @ Satendra Paswan, Son of Surender Paswan,
Resident of Dumri Kalan, P.S. Mejorganj, District- Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party : APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 06-07-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are in custody since 21.05.2017 in
connection with Complaint Case No. C2-306 of 2017 for the
offences alleged under Section 30(A) of the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act, 2016.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners have been falsely
implicated in connection with the alleged recovery of 19 litres of
Nepali Saufi Wine. Recovery of the offending goods from the
possession of the petitioners are denied. It is submitted that there
is only one other case of different nature of petitioner no. 1 in
which he has been made accused. Petitioner no. 2 claims clean
antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the
facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody
since 21.05.2017 already suffered, let the petitioners above named
be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten



thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.D.J.-II-cum-Special Judge Excise, Sitamarhi in connection with Complaint Case No. C2-306 of 2017 with the following conditions:

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioners.

(ii) That the petitioners shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) The petitioners shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make themselves available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioners shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

