

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.259 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7888 of 2013

=====

Rajendra Prasad Sharma, Son of Late Mahesh Nanda Sharma, resident of
Village- Augan, P.O.-Dahia, P.S: Bhagwanpur, District-Begusarai.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principle Secretary, Department of Education,
Government of Bihar, Patna, namely Mr. R.K.Mahajan.
2. The Director, Primary Education Government of Bihar, Patna namely Mr
Sridhar C.
3. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Munger namely Mrs. Usha
Kumari.
4. The District Magistrate, Begusarai namely Ms. Seema Tripathi.
5. The District Educational Officer, Begusarai namely Mr. Kapildeo Yadav.
6. The District Programme Officer, Begusarai (Establishment) Mr.
Mahendra Poddar.
7. The Block Education Officer, Bhagwanpur, District-Begusarai namely
Mr.Shiv Shankar Singh.
8. The Block Development Officer, Bhagwanpur, District-Begusarai
namely-Mr. Niranjana Kumar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Arvind Ujjawal, SC-4
Mr. M.N. Roy, AC to SC-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 08-04-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

In this case, the petitioner has raised a grievance that
the order dated 31.07.2014 has been passed in C.W.J.C. No. 7888
of 2013, but the complain has been made that while making a
purported compliance of the order dated 31.07.2014, in fact,
respondents have flouted the order itself, which is not permissible



in law, as this Court in a specific term, has directed to dispose of the matter in terms of the Circular dated 26.12.2012 and the order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Vishwanath Pandey vs. State of Bihar, reported in 2013 (3) PLJR (SC) 305 whereas learned counsel for the State submits that the order of this Court has been complied with. The issue whether the order has been complied in terms of the aforesaid direction, requires a deep consideration of the fact, cannot be adjudicated in the present proceeding.

If the petitioner feels aggrieved with the order passed against him, he will be at liberty to challenge the same in the appropriate proceeding.

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Mahesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

