

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.6111 of 2013

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8211 of 2010

-
-
1. Upendra Choudhary Son Of Late Shoe Nandan Choudhary, Resident Of Village-Naurojpur (KHATALPUR), P.S.-SARE, District-Nalanda.
 2. Anil Choudhary Son Of Sri Madhuri Choudhary Resident Of Village-Naurojpur (KHATALPUR), P.S.-SARE, District-Nalanda.
 3. Devendra Choudhary Son Of Lae Parmeshwar Choudhary Resident Of Village-Naurojpur (KHATALPUR), P.S.-SARE, District-Nalanda.
 4. Garbhu Choudhary Son Of Shri Babu Chand Choudhary Resident Of Village-Naurojpur (KHATALPUR), P.S.-SARE, District-Nalanda.
 5. Sudhir Choudhary Son Os Shri Babu Chand Choudhary Resident Of Village-Naurojpur (KHATALPUR), P.S.-SARE, District-Nalanda.
 6. Dinesh Choudhary Son Of Late Dhaneshwar Choudhary Resident Of Village-Naurojpur (KHATALPUR), P.S.-SARE, District-Nalanda.
 7. Masudan Choudhary Son Of Shri Ram Saran Choudhary Resident Of Village-Naurojpur (KHATALPUR), P.S.-SARE, District-Nalanda.
 8. Rajeev Sharma Son Of Late Sri Mohan Mistri, Resident Of Village-Naurojpur (KHATALPUR), P.S.-SARE, District-Nalanda.
 9. Sukhdeo Choudhary Son Of Sri Babu Chand Choudhary Resident Of Village-Naurojpur (KHATALPUR), P.S.-SARE, District-Nalanda.
 10. Naresh Choudhary Son Of Late Dhaneshwar Choudhary Resident Of Village-Naurojpur (KHATALPUR), P.S.-SARE, District-Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Anup Mukherjee The Chief Secretary, Govt. Of Bihar
2. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Agrawal, The District Magistrate, Nalanda,
3. Mr. Jai Singh The Then Deputy Development Commissioner, Nalanda.
4. Mr. Rajesh Kumar The Then Programme Officer Cum B.D.O. Asthawan, Block Nalanda.
5. Mr. Pawan Kumar The Then Panchayat Secretary, Murgichak Panchayat Under Asthawan Block, Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Pd. Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.A. ALAM, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 15-12-2017

The writ petition was disposed of with an innocuous order, without entering into the controversy in question, that the



representation of the petitioner be considered and decided by respondent No.4.

Now, from the show cause filed by the respondent No.4 and the order Annexure B dated 21.4.2017, it is stated that in the absence of job cards of the petitioner being available, no action can be taken in the matter and the respondents expressed their inability to take a decision in the matter. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner refuted the aforesaid contention and brings on record that the job cards are available and, therefore, direction may be issued for necessary action.

Once the only direction was to decide the representation and the respondents have dealt with the matter and expressed their inability to grant benefit, no further action is required to be taken in the matter.

The application is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner, if aggrieved by the order passed by the respondent No.4, to take recourse of remedy in accordance with law afresh.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.Jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	23.12.2017
Transmission Date	

