

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.28948 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -284 Year- 2016 Thana -NOORSARAI District- NALANDA  
(BIHARSHARIFF)

=====

Ravi Kumar Tanti @ Mamu Son of Ramadhin Prasad, Resident of  
Village- Kathrahi, P.S. - Bind, District- Nalanda.

... .... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Satya Prakash, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**

ORAL ORDER

3      20-07-2017                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 28.02.2017 in connection with Sessions Trial No. 322 of 2017 arising out of Noorsarai P.S. Case No. 284 of 2016 for the offences alleged under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated merely on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Ranjeet Kumar Yadav who has since been granted bail by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 30107 of 2017. Other co-accused persons such as Satish Kumar Singh, Kundan Kumar, Adhir Yadav and Sukesh Kumar, who have also been named by the said Ranjeet Kumar Yadav, have also been granted bail by this Court. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned 3<sup>rd</sup> Additional Sessions Judge, Nalanda at Biharsharif, in connection with Sessions Trial No. 322 of 2017 arising out of Noorsarai P.S. Case No. 284 of 2016, on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

Md. Ibrarul/BT

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

