

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.161 of 2015

=====

1. Rambha Devi wife of Sri Shambhu Choudhary D/o late Kishore Choudhary, Resident of Village- Dumwalia, Police Station- Bagha, Post Office- Naraipur, District- West Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary, The Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
3. The Home Secretary, Government of Bihar, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, West Champaran At Bettiah.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Bagha, District- west Champaran.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Bagha Police Station- Bagha, District- West Champaran.
7. Thje Sub -Divisional Police Officer, Bagha, District- west Champaran.
8. The Dy. Collector Land Reforms, Bagha, District- west Champaran.
9. The Circle Officer, Bagha Circle, Bagha-2, District-West Champaran.
10. The Officer-in -Charge, Pattkhauli, Police out Post- Bagha, District- west Champaran.
11. Raj Kumar Gautam, sub - Inspector of Police at Present Posted as Incharge Police Out Post - Pattkhauli, P.S. Bagha, District- west Champaran.
12. Sharwan Rungta S/o Late Gauri Shankar Rungta.
13. Om Prakash Rungta S/o Late Gauri Shankar Rungta.
14. Jai Prakash Rungta S/o Late Gauri Shankar Rungta.
15. Sushirungta S/o Late Gauri Shankar Rungta.
16. Arun Rungta S/o Late Gauri Shankar Rungta. Respondent No. 12 to 16 are resident of Bagha Bazar, Police Station- Bagha District- West Champaran.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kanhaiya Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Nirbhay Kr. Singh(Gp 26)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

13 02-08-2017

On call, a prayer for adjournment has been made on the ground of non-availability of the counsel for the petitioner.

Previously on 03.12.2015, 21.12.2015, 13.01.2016, 06.04.2016, 20.06.2016 and 22.02.2017, when the matter was called out, prayer for adjournment was made by the counsel for the petitioner on one ground or the other. Last time on 22.02.2017



when the matter was taken up, adjournment was sought for on the ground that the senior counsel engaged in the case is not available.

It appears that the counsel for the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the matter.

In that view of the matter, the prayer for adjournment of the case is refused as enough time has already been granted to the petitioner to be ready and argue the case.

As the counsel present before the Court has refused to argue the matter, the application is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

Pradeep/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

