

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13032 of 2010

=====

1. Suresh Prasad Singh son of Late Bakhori Singh, resident of Mohalla - Shanti Ranjan Market, West Patel Nagar, P.O. – Shastri Nagar , P.S.-Shastri Nagar, District -Patna. Presently Posted As Executive Engineer, Road Division Begusarai, Road Construction Deptt., Govt. Of Bihar, Patna.

2. Arbind Kumar son of Late Surendra Kumar resident of Village and P.O.-Neura, P.S.-Bihata, District -Patna, The Executive Engineer (Waiting For Posting) And Posted As Assistant Engineer, Patna City Road Division, Gulzarbagh, Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar

2. The Secretary, Road Construction Deptt. Bishwashariya Bhawan, Bailey Road , Patna.

3.The Engineer-In-Chief-Cum- Addl. Secretary -Cum-Special Secretary, Road Construction Deptt. Bishwasharaiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15692 of 2010

=====

1. Vinay Kumar S/O Late Rameshwar Prasad Village - Chandanpura, P.S.-Islampur, Distt.- Nalanda

2. Amlendu Kumar Ranjan son of Sri Harendra Prasad Village - Gopalbad, P.S.- Sarmera, Distt.- Nalanda

3. Arun Kumar son of Late Ram Lakhan Sharma Sadar Chowk, Dehri-On-Sone, P.S.- Dehri, Distt.- Rohtas

4. Binod Kumar son of Sri Lakhandeo Prasad Verma P.S.- Punpun, Distt.- Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar

2. The Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bishweshwaraiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.13032 of 2010)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. S.B.K.Mangalam

For the Respondent/s : Ms. Divya Verma, AC to A.A.G. No. 3

(In CWJC No.15692 of 2010)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. P.N.Pathak

For the Respondent/s : Mr. M.K. Tiwari, AC to A.A.G. No. 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER



5 28-04-2017 Sri Shashi Bhushan Kumar Manglam as well as Sri Prabhu Nath Pathak, learned counsel for the petitioners in the aforesaid two writ petitions, in presence of learned AC to A.A.G. No. 3 submits that by efflux of time the writ petitions have become infructuous.

Accordingly , both the writ petitions stand dismissed as same have become infructuous.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

Praful/-

U			
---	--	--	--

