

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.314 of 2016

=====

Sidhnath Sharma son of late Ambika Sharma resident of village Chhotki
Tengarila, P.O. Amarpura, P.S. Naubatpur, District - Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Vikash Bhawan Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Director, Directorate General, Provident Fund, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Collector, Patna, District - Patna.
5. The District Provident Fund Officer, Patna.
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), District - Patna.
7. The Drawing & Disbursing Officer, High School, Amarpura, Patna, District - Patna.
8. The Sub-Treasury Officer, Danapur.

.... Respondents

=====

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.334 of 2016

=====

Ram Nath Thakur Son of Late Bajrangi Thakur resident of village -
Gopalpur, P.O. Sriwar Gopalpur, P.S. Naubatpur, District - Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
3. The Director, Directorate General, Provident Fund, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
4. The Collector, Patna, District Patna
5. The District Provident Fund Officer, Patna
6. The District Programme officer (Eastablishment), District Patna
7. The Drawing & Disbursing Officer, Rajya Krit High School, Sriwar Gopalpur (Patna), District Patna
8. The Sub - Treasury officer, Danapur

.... Respondents

=====

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.419 of 2016

=====

Baijnath Sharma son of late Bharat Singh resident of village Kamta, P.O.
Kamta via Usri, P.S. Mahandia, District - Arwal.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Vikash



Bhawan Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna.

3. The Director, Directorate General Provident Fund, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.

4. The Collector, Jehanabad, District - Jehanabad.

5. The District Provident Fund Officer, Jehanabad.

6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), District - Arwal.

7. The Drawing & Disbursing Officer, Jagdish, Braj Kishore High School, Kamta (Arwal), District - Arwal.

8. The Treasury Officer, Arwal.

.... Respondents

=====

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.478 of 2016

=====

Madan Dhari Sharma son of Late Brij Bihari Singh, resident of village-Chotki Tengraila, P.O.- Amarpura, P.S. Naubatpur, District- Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna

2. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Vikash Bhawan Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna

3. The Director, Directorate General, Provident Fund, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna

4. The Collector, Patna, District- Patna

5. The District Provident Fund officer, Patna

6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), District- Patna

7. The Drawing & Disbursing Officer, High School, Kayapur- Fatehpur, Naubatpur, District- Patna

8. The Sub-Treasury Officer, Danapur

.... Respondents

=====

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.537 of 2016

=====

Ramanand Singh Son of late Ramashish Singh Resident of Village & Po Khazuri ,P.s Naubatpur, District Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar throughn the Principal Secretary, Department of Education Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road,,Government of Bihar,Patna.

2. The Director, Secondary Education , Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road,,Government of Bihar,Patna.

3. The Director, Directorate General, Provident Fund, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.

4. The Collector, Patna District Patna.

5. The District Provident Officer, Patna.

6. The District Programme Officer(Establishment) District Patna.

7. The Drawing and Disbursing Officer ,Collegiate High School, Naubatpur,(Patna), District Patna.

8. The Sub Treasury officer, Danapur.

.... Respondents



=====

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.588 of 2016

=====

Ram Nath Sharma son of Late Sri Kishun Singh, resident of Village-
Fathpur, P.O. Sahar Rampur Gopalpur, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna
..... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Vikash Bhawan Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna
3. The Director, Directorate General, Provident Fund, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
4. The Collector, Patna, District- Patna
5. The District Provident Fund Officer, Patna
6. The District Programme officer (Establishment), District- Patna
7. The Drawing & Disbursing Officer, Collegiate High School, Naubatpur (Patna), District- Patna
8. The Sub-Treasury Officer, Danapur

..... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.314 of 2016)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ghanshyam Sharma, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Asif Kalim, AC to AAG-12

(In CWJC No.334 of 2016)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ghanshyam Sharma, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, AC to AAG-6

(In CWJC No.419 of 2016)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ghanshyam Sharma, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Ms. Manisha Prakash, AC to AAG-14

(In CWJC No.478 of 2016)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ghanshyam Sharma, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vishwambhar Prasad, AC to AAG-5

(In CWJC No.537 of 2016)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ghanshyam Sharma, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, AC to AAG-6

(In CWJC No.588 of 2016)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ghanshyam Sharma, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Asif Kalim, AC to AAG-12

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR
UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER**

3 11-10-2017

Heard Mr. Ghanshyam Sharma, learned counsel for the
petitioners and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



State in all these cases.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance of the petitioners has already been redressed and as such, all the writ applications have become infructuous.

All these writ applications are disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Surendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

