

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.9728 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -323 Year- 2016 Thana -DUMRA District- SITAMARHI

=====

Satya Prakash @ Pappu, Son of Ganga Prasad Yadav, resident of Village-
Mishrauli, P.S.- Gaighat, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Surendra Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-03-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is accused in connection with Dumra
P.S. Case No. 323 of 2016, registered under Sections 414/34 of the
Indian Penal Code and 136 of the Electricity Act.

The accusation is that on receiving secret information
about carrying of electric pole loading on a trailer by help of Crain
in the supervision of person boarded in Wagon-R, the police
started checking of vehicles near village Muhatti and intercepted
the trailer, Crain and Wagon-R. On query, no paper was produced
about carrying of electric pole. The drivers of Crain, trailer and
Wagon-R were apprehended, who disclosed their name Satya
Prakash (Petitioner), Dudh nath Rai and Tarun Kumar and they



did not give satisfactory reply of carrying the electric poles. .

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in fact, petitioner is the driver of Crain and he has been apprehended by the police mere on suspicion in the present case and also remanded in three other cases as detailed in paragraph 3 of the case diary. Further submission is that petitioner is in custody since 13.12.2016.

Having regard to the facts and the circumstances of the case, the petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sitamarhi, in connection with Dumra P.S. Case No. 323 of 2016. Out of two sureties, one surety must be the close relative of the petitioner.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Bhardwaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

