

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.236 of 2017

=====

1. Ravi Mahto @ Ravi Kumar @ Ravi Kumar Mahto, aged about 25 years,
Resident of Mohalla Jila School, Ramna, Ward No. 35, Shankar Nagar, P.O.-
Ramna, P.S. Mithanpura, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Union of India through Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) Shram Shakti
Bhawan Rafil Marg, New Delhi.
2. Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) Shram Shakti Bhawan Rafil Marg, New
Delhi.
3. Secretary, Shram Shakti Bhawan, Rafil Marg, New Delhi.
4. Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), Room No. 6, 2nd Floor, Maurya
Lok Complex, Patna.
5. Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Room No. 6, 2nd Floor Mourya Lok
Complex, Patna.
6. Labour Enformation Officer (Central) House of Prabhat Jarda Factory (Owner),
Imamganj, Behind (Purani Bazar Naka), Muzaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar Sinha, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.D Sanjay, Addl. Soc. Gen.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 11-07-2017

The petitioner prays for compassionate appointment in place of
his mother who has died in harness. The petitioner claims to be her
adopted son.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner by
filing a rejoinder relies upon an adoption deed executed on a non
judicial stamp paper to submit that it was duly registered by the
District Registry Office and thus is a valid adoption.

In my opinion, the plea is misconceived for an adoption has to
be by following the procedure prescribed under the Hindu Adoptions



and Maintenance Act, 1956 and no other form can be termed a ‘valid adoption’. The reliance by learned counsel on the deed of adoption, has no lawful support.

No cause for indulgence is made out. The writ petition is disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Bibhash/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	13.07.2017
Transmission Date	NA

