

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8135 of 2014

=====

Bharat Singh, Son of Late Trevini Singh, Resident of Village- Kairwa dariyapur,
P.O.- Sano Bigha, P.S.- Ghoshi, District- Jehanabad

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the D.G.P. Bihar, Patna
2. The Commandant, B.M.P.-2, Dihri, Bihar
3. The Accountant-General (A & E), Bihar, Patna

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent-State: Mr. Shashi Shekhar Prasad Sinha, AC to GA-6

For the Respondent-AG : Mr. Uday Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 08-03-2017

Despite repeated calls, nobody appears on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Accountant General are present.

2. Perused the record.

3. On perusal of the writ application, it would be apparent that the main grievance of the petitioner is that though he is entitled for receipt of revised pension and gratuity pursuant to 6th Pay Revision Commission recommendation, the monetary benefits



have not been paid to him.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Accountant General that during pendency of the writ application, the grievances of the petitioner have already been redressed.

5. Separate counter affidavits have been filed on behalf of the Accountant General and the State of Bihar.

6. In the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the Accountant General, it is stated that authority of revised pension and gratuity on the basis of 6th Pay Revision Commission vide department Letter No.401 dated 30.01.2015 has already been issued vide P.P.O. No. 06/PEN 040215064527 / 458620G1/PI dated 17.02.2015.

7. Similarly, in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the State, it is stated that the arrear payment of the petitioner has already been paid through bill No.430 / 2011-12 and has been credited in the Bank A/c No.11352492580 of the petitioner on 03.01.2012.

8. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respondents-State and the Accountant General as also the statements made in the respective counter affidavits filed on behalf of the State and the Accountant General, it appears that the



grievances of the petitioner have already been redressed.

9. In that view of the matter, the writ application is disposed of.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U			
---	--	--	--

