

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.196 of 2015

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4598 of 2014

=====

Dinesh Kumar Singh, Son of Late Ram Singh. Resident of Village - Pachayana,
P.O.- New Mohamadpur, Police Station - Koelwar, District - Bhojpur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mr. Chanchal Kumar, Secretary, Building Construction Department,
Government of Bihar, Visheshwaraiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. Mr. Sunil Kumar, Chief Engineer (South), Building Construction Department,
Government of Bihar, Visheshwaraiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. Mr. Subhas Kumar, Superintending Engineer, Building Construction
Department, Building Circle, Ara.
5. Mr. Ramesh Prasad, Executive Engineer, Building Division, Ara.
6. Mr. Sudama Sharma, Assistant Engineer, Building Sub - Division, Ara.

.... Opposite parties.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Nadim Seraj

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 04-02-2017

No one appears for the petitioner.

Heard learned counsel for the State.

3. In this application, complaint has been made by the
petitioner that the order dated 27.08.2014 passed in C.W.J.C.
No.4598 of 2014 has not been complied with, whereby this Court
has given direction in the following terms:-

*“In view of the aforementioned stand of learned
counsel for the respondents, this Court would direct
the concerned authority to ensure that the payment of
admissible amount as claimed in this writ application*



to the tune of Rs.5,55,898/- must be made to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of this order”

4. In pursuance of the aforesaid order, the authority has considered the case of the petitioner and vide order dated 19.03.2015 allotted an amount of Rs.5,55,898/- in favour of the petitioner. A statement to this effect has been made in paragraph No.4 of the show cause that the petitioner has received the payment of the aforesaid amount.

5. In such view of the matter, there is no need to keep this matter pending. Accordingly, this contempt proceeding is dropped.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N/A.
Uploading Date	07.02.2017
Transmission Date	

