

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8254 of 2010

=====

International Human Rights Association, through its Honourary General Secretary
Namely S.A.Najmi S/O S.E. Hussain At Present Resident Of Near Mulla Shedman
Masjid , Law College Road, Golakpur, P.S.- Pirbahore, Distt-Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India, through Under Secretary (I.L.C.S.), Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation Ministry Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi
2. The Accountant General Bihar
3. The State Of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
4. The Principal Secretary, Department of Urban Development and Housing Government of Bihar
5. The Deputy Secretary, Department of Urban Development and Housing Government of Bihar
6. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Vigilance Government of Bihar, Patna
7. The Additional Director General of Vigilance Bihar, Patna
8. The Development Commissioner Government of Bihar, Patna
9. The Joint Secretary-Cum-Internal Financial Advisor, Urban Development and Housing Department Bihar, Patna
10. The Divisional Commissioner Patna
11. The Regional Chief HUDCO, Patna
12. The Director, Urban Poverty Alleviation, Urban Development and Housing Department Patna
13. The Director Urban Development and Housing Department Patna
14. The District Magistrate Patna
15. The Nagar Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad Danapur, Nizamat
16. The Nagar Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad Khagaul
17. The Nagar Executive Officer Nagar Parishad Phulwarisharif, Patna
18. The Nagar Executive Officer Nagar Parishad Khagaul, Patna
19. The Nagar Executive Officer, Nagar Panchayat Maner, Patna
20. Samadhan Sewa Samiti, G.D.Mishra Path, Patliputra Colony through its Secretary

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bishnu Kant Dubey and
Ms. Minu Kumari, Advocates.

For the Respondent-State: Dr. Md. R. Haque, SC 10.

For the Respondent no. 17: Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate.

For the Respondent no. 19: Mr. Madhav Roy and
Ms. Kanti Jha, Advocates.

For the Respondent no. 20: Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate.

For the Nagar Parishad: Mr. Ajatshatru, Advocate.

=====



CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)
Date: 24-03-2017

Keeping in view the Action Taken Report submitted by the respondent along with the letter as ordered on 09.01.2017, now in the light of the action already taken, we are satisfied that keeping the matter pending and monitoring of the construction of toilets and other amenities by this Court is not necessary. As indicated by the respondents in the Final Action Taken Report, they should proceed to complete the construction work within a stipulated period of time.

That apart, the Government of India has already launched a different scheme, i.e., the Swachha Bharat Scheme with regard to implementation of an identical scheme for the entire country and, therefore, now when the Union of India is meticulously implementing the said scheme, keeping the matter pending does not seem necessary. The scheme, which has been implemented by the Union of India for the entire country, would be implemented by the State Government also and the grievance of the petitioner, if any, would be adequately met once the scheme is implemented in the State.

That being so, for the present, no further indulgence is



required to be made. This application stands disposed of. Liberty shall be available to the petitioner to ventilate his grievance, if required, after a substantial period of time in case appropriate action is not taken.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Dilip, AR

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

