

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.517 of 2017**

=====

Vandana Priyadarshini, Daughter of Sri Navin Kumar, resident of village  
and Post - Runnisaipur, Police Station - Runnisaipur, District - Sitamarhi

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Human Resource  
Department, Bihar
2. The Director, Education Research and Training Parishad, Patna, Bihar
3. The District Education Officer, Sitamarhi
4. The District Programme officer ( East ), Sitamarhi
5. The Block Education officer, Runnisaipur, District Sitamarhi

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Prasad Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey-Aag15

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR**  
**UPADHYAY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      30-11-2017                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner  
was appointed against physically challenged quota and as such the  
petitioner deserves treatment as physically challenged and the  
petitioner is not to be subjected to the recourse applicable to other  
general candidates.

Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey, AAG submits that the  
petitioner was an applicant under general category and as such  
there is no case of appointment under the physically handicapped  
quota.



Considering the rival contentions of the parties, it would be appropriate that the petitioner may file representation before the Director, Primary Education alongwith the documents to substantiate that petitioner was appointed under the physically challenged quota.

In case, the petitioner files such representation alongwith the documents to establish that the petitioner was appointed against physically challenged quota, the respondent, Director, Primary Education shall pass appropriate order in accordance with law on the representation filed by the petitioner within a period of 60 days from the date of filing of such representation.

With the aforesaid, the writ application stands disposed of.

**(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)**

Ravi/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

