

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.505 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-7 Year-2017 Thana- KARPI District- Jehanabad

=====

Fekan Yadav, son of Late Sukhi Yadav, resident of Village- Bajidpur Mela,
P.S.- Kinjar, District- Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Arwal.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Arwal.
5. The Station House Officer, Kinjar, District- Arwal.
6. Satyendra Yadav @ Bose Yadav, son of Khalifan Yadav, resident of Village- Dain Bigha, P.S.- Karpi, District- Arwal.
7. Khalifan Yadav, son of Late Dudhnath Yadav, resident of Village- Dain Bigha, P.S.- Karpi, District- Arwal.
8. Mahendra Kumar @ Vishi, son of Late Dwarika Singh, resident of Village- Shankarpur, P.S.- Karpi, District- Arwal.
9. Upendra Yadav son of Late Sukhi Yadav, resident of village-Bajitpur Mela, P.S. Kinjar, District Arwal.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN)

11 31-08-2017

Heard parties.

In view of the statement made by Upendra Yadav, the Superintendent of Police, Arwal is directed to proceed with the investigation in accordance with law. However, he will also keep in mind the observations made during the proceeding on earlier occasion, when he was present in the Court. Every angle or lead available should be dealt with properly by the investigating team. The Superintendent of Police, Arwal should



also take note of the statement made by Upendra Yadav in his affidavit in paragraph no. 9. The Superintendent of Police, Arwal, will also examine the supervision note of the Dy.S.P. from which it appears that several persons have stated that if the petitioner withdraws the case then the boy can be returned.

It is made clear that if the investigation still does not continue in right direction, and nothing happens after closure of this case, then the petitioner would be at liberty to approach this Court again.

With the aforementioned observation and direction, this application is disposed of.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

