

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.836 of 2017

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9877 of 2008

- =====
1. Birendra Kumar Verma Son of Shiv Prasad Verma, Resident of Village-
Pirbigha, P.O. Rasalpur, P.S.- Chandauti, District- Gaya, at present resident of
Mohalla, Janakpur, P.O. Buniadganj, P.S. Mufassil, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri R. K. Mahajan, the Principal Secretary, Health
Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. Dr. Azad Hind Prasad, The Director-in-Chief (Administration), Health Services,
Bihar, Patna.
3. Manoj Kumar, The District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
4. Sri Bijay Kumar Sinha, The Civil Surgeon-cum- Chief Medical Officer,
Jehanabad.
5. Dr. Raj Kumar Sharma, The Civil Surgeon-cum- Chief Medical Officer, Arwal.
6. Dr. Dhruv Gupta, The Additional Chief Medical Officer, Jehanabad.
7. Dr. B.K. Jha, The Deputy Superintendent, Sub-Divisional Hospital, Jehanabad.
8. Dr. Basudeo Narayan, The In-Charge Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre,
Karpi, District- Arwal.
9. Dr. Ravindra Kumar Himanshu, The In-Charge Medical Officer, Primary
Health Centre, Sonebhadra Banshi Surajpur, District- Arwal.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pravin Kumar Sinha

For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.D.YADAV - AAG9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 02-08-2017

Inter alia contending that an order passed on 6.10.2009
in C.W.J.C. No.9877 of 2008 has not been complied with, this
application has been filed for initiating action for contempt.

From the supplementary counter affidavit filed by the
respondents it is seen that the petitioner has been reinstated in service



vide order dated 19.5.2017 subject to and till final disposal of S.L.P. No.923/15 which is pending before the Hon’ble Supreme Court at the instance of the State Government.

Keeping in view the aforesaid affidavit filed indicating reinstatement of the employee concerned, pending disposal of the S.L.P., no further action is required to be taken in the matter.

In case the petitioner has any grievance after disposal of the S.L.P., filed before the Hon’ble Supreme Court, liberty shall be available to the petitioner to initiate proceedings afresh in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid, for the present, finding no indulgence to be made, the application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	10.8.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

