

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Revision No.88 of 2017

- =====
1. Deo Nandan Rai, Son of Late Vidya Rai.
 2. Radhey Chand Rai Son of Late Ram Swarath Rai.
 3. Satya Narayan Rai Son of Late Bipat Rai.
 4. Dasharath Rai Son of Late Bankey Chand Rai, petitioner nos.1 and 2 are the residents of village-Dalipchak, Police Station –Danapur, District-Patna , petitioner no.3 is resident of village-Mubarakpur, P.S. Danapur, District-Patna and all the petitioners are the residents of village/Mohalla-Shahpur, Police Station-Shahpur, District-Patna.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. Nandu Sao, Son of Late Lotan Sao.
 2. Madan Sao Son of Nandu Sao.
 3. Lalu Sao Son of Nandu Sao , Resident of Danapur or Danapur Nizamat Municipality Danapur, Police Station- Shahpur, District-Patna.
 4. Executive Engineer, Tubewell Division, Minor Irrigation, Patna West.
 5. Assistant Engineer, Tubewell Sub Division, Minor Irrigation, Danapur Main Office- (West) Patna High Court, Central P.W.D. Building, Patna.
 6. Junior Engineer, Tubewell Section , Danapur, Main Office-(West)Patna High Court, Central P.W.D. Building, Patna Opposite Parties.
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kameshwar Prasad Sinha
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-07-2017

No body appears on behalf of the petitioners.

Perused the office note pertaining to the maintainability of this revision application.

By the impugned order, the appellate court below has rejected the petition filed by the appellant-petitioner under Order 43 Rule 27 C.P.C. praying to produce additional evidence.

The present revision application against such an order is not maintainable and is, accordingly, dismissed, as



such.

(V. Nath, J)

Nitesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

