

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1643 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 17093 of 2016

=====

1. Abhishek Kumar (Roll no. 105212), son of Tarak Nath Mahto, resident of Village- Bhagwanpur, P.O.- Bhagalpur, P.S.- Sadar, District- Muzaffarpur.
2. Pappu Kr. Pankaj (Roll no.- 109391), son of Gopal Prasad, resident of Village- Nase, P.O.- Gurua, P.S.- Gurua, Dist- Gaya.
3. Ajaj Akhtar, (Roll no.- 101333), son of Md. Fahim Akhtar, At+P.O.- Basopatti, Dist- Madhubani, Pin- 847225 (Courxe- MHRM).
4. Md. Nazaruddun, S/o Dil Mohammad, (Roll no.- 105300) resident of Village & P.O.- Mohanpur, P.S.- Basantpur, District- Siwan.
5. Manoj Singh (Roll no.-107641), S/o Bindeshwari Singh, resident of at Village- Deoria, P.O.- Pahleza, P.S.- Dehri-on-Sone, District- Rohtas.
6. Shamshay Alam, S/o Md. Idris (Roll No. 113316), resident of Village- Sandali, P.O.- Barauli, P.S.- Barauli, Dist- Gopalganj.
7. Rajan Kumar Giri (Roll no.- 114032), son of Sri Balram Giri, resident of village and P.O.- Dindayalpur, P.S. G.P. Nagar, Tarwara at And District Siwan.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Shri Pankaj Kumar, (son of not known to the petitioners), at present posted as Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Shri Pankaj Kumar, (son of not known to the petitioners), at present Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Daroga Rai Path, Patna.
4. Sanjeev Kumar, (son of not known to the petitioners), at present posted as Nodal Officer, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Daroga Rai Path, Patna.

.... Opp.Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukul Sinha, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

5 13-09-2017 A show cause is filed to the contempt application enclosing the orders at Annexures- A series and Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh in reference thereto has submitted that the case of the petitioners was examined in the light of the opinion expressed



by this Court and has been rejected by the said order.

This Court while disposing of the writ petition had directed the Managing Director to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of the observations made in the judgment and pass appropriate orders which has since been passed and brought on record as Annexures- A series to the show cause and though is not to the liking of the petitioners but then forum for raising such grievance is available other than the contempt jurisdiction.

The contempt application is disposed of affording liberty to the petitioners, if so advised, to question the order passed by the Managing Director of the Corporation brought on record vide Annexures- A series by filing an appropriate application before the appropriate forum.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Surendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

