

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9931 of 2017

=====

1. Ashok Singh, son of Late Raja Singh, Resident of Village- Rajpur, P.S.-
Manjhi, District- Saran at Chapra.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Govt.
of Bihar at Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.
3. The Additional District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.
4. The Sub- Divisional Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.
5. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Saran at Chapra.
6. The Circle Officer, Manjhi, District- Saran.
7. Ramdeo Singh, son of Late Basdeo Singh.
8. Sanjay Singh, son of Late Manan Singh.
9. Anirudh Singh, son of Late Ramdeo Singh.
10. Arjun Singh, son of Ram Ugrah Singh.
11. Arun Singh, son of Late Subedar Singh.
12. Pawan Singh, son of Subedar Singh.
13. Jung Bahadur Singh, son of Subedar Singh.
14. Lakhshman Singh, son of Late Madhaw Singh.
15. Sri Kishun Singh, son of Late Dina Nath Singh.
16. Ram Niwas Singh, son of Late Sawaliya Singh.
17. Vijay Singh, son of Late Bhagwan Singh.
18. Hare Ram Singh, son of Late Raja Ram Singh.
19. Ramjee Singh, son of not known.
20. Shri Ram Singh, son of Late Sukhram Singh.
21. Sawaliya Singh, son of Late Basdeo Singh. Respondent Nos. 7 to 21, all are
Residents of Village- Tajpur, P.S.- Manjhi, District- Saran at Chapra.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dr. Rajesh Kumar Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr. MD. KHURSHID ALAM- AAG12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 01-08-2017

Inter alia contending that encroachments have been
undertaken on the road in question bearing Thana No.69, Khata
No.418, Plot No.2835 in Mauza- Tajpur, District Saran by



respondent Nos.7 to 21 and no action is being taken for removal of the encroachment in spite of the fact that the Circle Officer, Manjhi, District Saran, respondent No.6, has been apprised of the situation and except for calling for reports, he has not taken any concrete action for removal of the encroachment, this petition has been filed in public interest.

Taking note of the grievance of the petitioner and considering the fact that respondent No.6 is a statutory authority empowered under law namely Bihar Public Land Encroachment Act to remove the encroachment, we direct respondent No.6 to take cognizance of the grievance of the petitioner and initiate the process for removal of encroachment alleged in accordance with law and decide the question of encroachment after hearing all concerned, preferably within six months and ensure that encroachment, if any found, be removed.

With the aforesaid, this application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

K.C.jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	5.8.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

