

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.267 of 2017**

=====

Nilam Devi

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

Sudarshan Devi & Ors

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar No.6

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR SAHOO
ORAL ORDER

2 16-02-2017 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Perused the impugned order dated 09.01.2017 passed by
Sub Judge III, Aurangabad in Partition Suit No.48 of 2004/481 of
2013.

From perusal of the impugned order, it appears that
court below considered the case of both the parties and found that
the document which is marked as exhibit is the document during
the pendency of the partition suit filed by the plaintiff-petitioner
and, therefore, it is not necessary to decide the genuineness or
otherwise of the document. It is only an evidence in the suit for
partition.

In such view of the matter, I do not find any illegality or
impropriety or any jurisdictional error in the impugned order.
Therefore, no case for supervisory jurisdiction is made out.
Accordingly, this civil miscellaneous application is dismissed.

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

