

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4488 of 2017

- =====
1. Pankaj Kumar, Son of Arjun Mandal, Resident of Mohalla-Ishakchak, P.S. Ishakchak, District Bhagalpur.
 2. Usha Kumari, Wife of Sukhdeo Mandal, Daughter of Arvind Prasad Sah, Resident of Mohall-Mundichak, Radhadevi Lane, P.S. Kotwali (Bhagalpur).
 3. Ram Chandra Singh Shukla, Son of Bindeshwari Singh, Resident of Village-Loa Lagam, P.S. Chausa, District Madhepura.
 4. Rubi Kumari, Daughter of Babuchand Paswan, Resident of Naya Tola Makuha P.S. and District Lakhisarai.
 5. Kavita Kumari, daughter of Late Bhim Prasad Singh, Resident of Village-Nandlalpur, P.S. Kahalgaon, District Bhagalpur.
 6. Abhinay Kumar, Son of Shyamal Kishore, Resident of Village and P.O. Kaluchak, P.S. Kharik Bazar, District Bhagalpur.
 7. Rashmi Rani, Daughter of Shri Amod Kumar Mandal, Resident of New Colony, (Chakki Ke Pichhe) Naya Gaon, Jamalpur, P.S. Jamalpur (Munger).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary (Primary Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, (Primary Education), Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Bhagalpur.
4. The District Education, Officer, Bhagalpur.
5. The District Programme Officer, (Establishment), Education Department, District Bhagalpur
6. The Block Education Officer, Goradih, Bhagalpur
7. The Panchayat Raj Agarpur, Goradih, through its Panchayat Secretary, Goradih, District Bhagalpur
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Agarpur, District Bhagalpur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Lalan Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dharendra Kumar Roy, AC to SC-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR

UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

2 21-12-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the respondents.

Mr. Lallan Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the petitioners are not getting



salary due to the rub between the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Bhagalpur and the employment unit. He submits that the petitioners have approached the District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority, Bhagalpur and the matter is pending before the District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority, Bhagalpur, but the petitioners are not getting salary because of the pendency of the case before the Appellate Authority.

Considering the totality of the facts situation, particularly, the fact that the petitioners are working for the last 1½ years, but they have not been paid salary, the Appellate Authority is expected to dispose of the appeal expeditiously preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order and the claim of the petitioners for salary would be abide by the final outcome of the pending appeal before the Appellate Authority, Bhagalpur.

With the aforesaid, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

