

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1530 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -206 Year- 2015 Thana -PAROO District- MUZAFFARPUR

=====

1. Vinod Mahto @ Binod Mahto, son of Suraj Mahto, resident of Village-
Chandpura Dih, P.S.- Deoriya, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Upendra Kumar Chaubey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Mukesh Kumar Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

2 17-01-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Paroo P.S.
Case No. 206/2015, registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 307, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegedly, the petitioner caused injury by *Hasuli* on the
neck of his wife Babita Devi and fled away and further he along
with other co-accused are causing threats to the informant.

Submission is of false implication and that this case has
been lodged only with a view to extract money and knowing the
reality the wife of the petitioner came to her in-laws house and she
is residing with her children there, in the house of the petitioner,
happily and she boldly denied the factum of the occurrence. In this



case affidavit has been filed on behalf of Babita Devi itself and as such, the petitioner deserves sympathetic consideration as he is suffering in custody since 11.04.2016.

Learned A.P.P. submits that one of the bailors must be the wife of the petitioner.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, West Muzaffarpur in connection with Paroo P.S. Case No. 206/2015, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be the wife of the petitioner i.e., daughter of the informant of this case and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J.)

Rakhi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

