

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.30318 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -179 Year- 2013 Thana -SIWAN CITY District- SIWAN

- =====
1. Birendra Pandey Son of Late Manger Pandey
 2. Bebi Devi Wife of Birendra Pandey Both are Resident of village- Parauli, P.S.- Panchrkhi, District- Siwan, Presently reside at Mohalla- Kasertoli Chauk Bazar Siwan, P.S.- Town Siwan, District- Siwan.
 3. Chunnu Mishra Son of Late Satrudhan Mishra
 4. Din Bandhu Mishra Son of Late Vidya Sagar Mishra Both are resident of village- Tarwa, P.S.- Hasanpura, District- Siwan

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Musmat Badami devi Wife of Late Satyadeo Prasad Resident of Mohalla- Chauk Bazar, P.S.- Town Siwan, District- Siwan.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party no.2	:	Mr. Prashant Kumar, Advocate.
		Mr. Animesh Kumar, Advocate.
For the State		Mr. M.N. Jha, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-11-2017

Heard.

2. This application, under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, has been filed on behalf of the petitioners to quash the order dated 20.02.2014 passed in Siwan Town P.S. Case No. 179 of 2013, whereby the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Siwan, took the cognizance of the offences under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 406 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code against the accused-petitioners.



3. On going through the impugned order, I find no illegality in the impugned order amounting to abuse of the process of the court for interference in inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

4. Accordingly, this application is dismissed . However, the petitioners would be at liberty to raise their defence/points in trial court at the appropriate stage.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Bhardwaj/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	21.11.2017
Transmission Date	21.11.2017

