

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.840 of 2016
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3248 of 2016**

=====

1. Surya Munni Devi Wife of Shivji Prasad, Resident of Village- Brahampur P.S.-
Brahampur, District- Buxar.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar.
4. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Under Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar.
6. The District Magistrate, Buxar.
7. The District Collector, Buxar.
8. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Buxar.
9. The Block Development Officer, Brahampur, Buxar.
10. The Circle Officer, Brahampur, Buxar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 03-07-2017

The writ petition filed by the petitioner has been
dismissed on 19.2.2016 in the following manner:

“ In the nature of general accusations that is brought
in this writ petition, no order can be passed. The petitioner raises
allegation against the entire functionaries in the District including
the District Magistrate. Such general nature of accusation cannot
warrant any indulgence nor would persuade this Court to issue



any directives. Even when the petitioner complains against the arbitrary intrusion by these authorities there is nothing on record to show that the attention of the Department towards such interference has been requisitioned.

No cause for indulgence is made out. The writ petition is disposed of.”

Keeping in view the aforesaid, we are not inclined to interfere into the matter.

If aggrieved, the appellant may make specific complaint with regard to illegalities before the competent authority of the State Government with specific instances and thereafter, in case the competent authority does not consider the specific grievance of the appellant made on the basis of his representation, liberty shall be available to the appellant to agitate the same afresh in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observations and liberty to the appellant, this appeal stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

K.C.jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	7.7.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

