

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Revision No.182 of 2015

=====

1. Pavan Kumar Verma S/o Late Ram Chandra Prasad Verma Resident of Kiul Basti,
Pachna Road, P.S. & District Lakhisarai.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sunita Devi W/o Pavan Kumar Verma Resident of New jaganpura Bye-pass Central
School, P.O. Dhelwan, P.S. Ram Krishna Nagar, District Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv
For the O.P.No. 2 : Ms. Nilima Sinha, Adv, Mr. Rajeev Ranjan,
Adv
For the State : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date: 09-03-2017

In exercise of power under Section 127 of the Cr.P.C.

learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Patna has enhanced
monthly maintenance allowance of Opposite Party No. 2, from sum of
Rs. 200 to Rs. 2000 per month, which is being assailed by the
petitioner in the present proceeding.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
has submitted that enhancement of maintenance amount by ten times
is unreasonable, in the background of the fact that the petitioner
himself is an old and ailing person. However, upon perusal of the
materials on record, it is not in dispute, that the petitioner is keeping
another lady with him. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further



submitted that as a matter of fact, the lady is maintaining the petitioner and taking care of the petitioner in his day to day life.

Be that as it may. Considering the prevalent inflation, I do not consider fixation of sum of Rs. 2000/- per month as maintenance allowance to be unreasonable from any angle.

There is no merit in this application. It is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Ranjan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	11.03.2017
Transmission Date	11.03.2017

