

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 13178 of 2014

=====

Pramod Kumar Nandan Son of Sri Chhote Nandan, Resident of Village- Bhikha Chak, P.O.- Anishabad, P.S.- Gardanibagh, District- Patna- 800002.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary Welfare Department, Government of Bihar.
2. Managing Director, Bihar State Anusuchit Jati Sahakarita Bikash Nigam, Budha Colony, Patna-1.
3. Executive Officer, Bihar Rajya Anusuchit Jati Sahakarita Bikash Nigam, Branch Office at Budh Marg, Patna- 800001.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 17-02-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the State and respondents no. 2 and 3.

The petitioner has moved the Court, being aggrieved by the demand raised against him to the tune of Rs. 2,69,203.32/-, which is the interest calculated on his loan account up to 25.05.2013.

It appears that the petitioner had been sanctioned a term loan of Rs. 3,75,000/- on 25.02.2003 and as per the repayment schedule, he could not deposit the installment in time and by 2011, he deposited Rs. 4,00,500/-.

Learned counsel for the respondents no. 2 and 3 submitted that because of the default, the interest portion has accrued which is still continuing. However, on a query of the Court as to



whether they would be agreeable to reconsider the matter in view of the discretion available with them and various schemes which may facilitate the settlement of the account of the petitioner, on easy terms, the answer is in the affirmative.

In view thereof, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be permitted to file representation before the respondent no. 2, who may be directed to consider the same in terms of the policy and the schemes, which may be available.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the respondent no. 2, with regard to settlement of whatever outstanding demand remains against him. If such a representation is filed within four weeks from today, along with a copy of this order, the respondent no. 2 shall look into the same with a view to provide such relief to which the petitioner may be entitled, under various schemes for such settlement available and a reasoned order be passed within four weeks from the date of filing of the application, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	

