

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12471 of 2017

- =====
1. The Union of India, through the Secretary, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, 5th Floor, Sashtri Bhawan, New Delhi – 110001.
 2. The Director General, Akashwani Bhawan, Parliament Street, New Delhi – 110001.
 3. The Chief Engineer, Civil Construction Wing, All India Radio, Suchna Bhawan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi – 110003.

....Respondents/Petitioners.

Versus

1. Manda Madhva Rao, Son of Late Manda Narsimha Murty, Resident of IT-II, Hasna Gardens, Madambakam Road, Post – Selaiyur, Chennai-73.
....Applicant/Respondent 1st Set.

2. The Secretary, Union Public Service Commission, Dholpur House, Sahhjehan Road, New Delhi – 110001.

.... Respondent/Respondent 2nd Set.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. S.D. Sanjay, Addl. S.G.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kaushal Kr Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

2. 03-10-2017 Heard Sri S.D. Sanjay, learned Additional
Solicitor General for the Union of India.

Perused the order dated 27.08.2013, passed in
O.A. No. 629/2012 by the Central Administrative
Tribunal, Patna Bench, Patna (hereinafter referred to as
the "Tribunal").

We are of the view that merely because the
private respondent has superannuated and the direction of
the Tribunal was not carried out within the time frame



indicated therein, the benefit of the order or direction cannot be robbed by inaction on the part of the petitioners. They should still show their *bona fide* by compliance.

The writ application stands dismissed, since there is no infirmity with the order of the learned Tribunal.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

Rajeev/-

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

U			
---	--	--	--

