

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10583 of 2017

=====

Kumari Prerana Singh, Daughter of Ganesh Kumar Singh, Resident of Sandha Khemaji Tola, P.S.-Chapra Muffasil, District Saran at Chapra, under Guardianship of her father namely, Ganesh Kumar Singh, Son of Basant Singh, Resident of Sandha Khemaji Tola, P.S.-Chapra Muffasil, District Saran at Chapra.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Bihar School Examination Board, Patna, through its Chairman.
4. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
6. The Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, through its Chairman.
7. The Chairman, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, Patna.
8. The Controller of Examination, Bihar Combined Entrance Competitive Examination Board, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bindyachal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Satya Prakash, Adv.

For the State : Mr. Hari Mohan Mishra, AC to GP-27

For the Board : Mr. Gyan Shankar, Adv.

For the Respondent Nos. 6-8 : Mr. Prasoon Sinha, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT & ORDER

Date: 28-07-2017



The petitioner seeks re-evaluation of her answer-sheets of subjects Physics, Chemistry and Mathematics of Intermediate Examination (Science), 2017. It is the case of the petitioner that her answer-sheets have been wrongly evaluated.

2. From the records, it appears that the petitioner had applied for scrutiny of her answer-sheets, which has been done by the Bihar School Examination Board (*hereinafter referred to as the 'Board'*) and the Board has come to a decision that no alteration in the marks, allotted to the petitioner, is required.

3. On perusal of the marks-sheet, which has been annexed with the writ application, I do not think a case for issuance of direction to the Board to re-evaluate the answer-sheets of the petitioner is made out.

4. This application has no merit and is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	28.07.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

