

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.1389 of 2014

IN

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 383 of 2014

=====

The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, R. Block, Patna.

.... Appellant

Versus

1. Ramanand Singh Son of Sri Sachidanand Singh resident of Mohalla - Kalyanpur, near Satsang Chemicals, P.O. - Satsang, B.Deogarh, District - Deoghar Jharkhand).
2. The Union of India through Secretary, Labour and Employment, Govt. of India.
3. The Secretary, Labour & Employment Department, Govt. of India, Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi.
4. The State Co-operative Land Development Bank Samiti, Bihar & Jharkhand, Budh Marg, Patna through its Managing Director.
5. The Director Personnel, the State Co-operative Land Development Bank Samiti, Bihar & Jharkhand, Budh Marg, Patna.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant : Mr. Prashant Sinha, Advocate

For the Respondent Nos.4&5:Mr. Y.V.Giri, Senior Advocate

Mr. Rajesh Prasad Choudhary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 02-05-2017

Heard counsel for the appellant and learned senior
counsel for the respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

The Letters Patent Appeal is dismissed on the ground
that since the main writ application itself stood disposed of and
compliance thereof is being made by the appellant, i.e. the Regional
Provident Fund Commissioner, and the said order is not a subject
matter of challenge. It is a needless argument which is being made
where the modification petition has been rejected by the learned



single Judge for the reasons indicated in the order under appeal. It is a futile kind of exercise which this Court is not willing to entertain.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

Pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	03.05.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

