

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.443 of 2017

- =====
1. Rakesh Kumar
 2. Abhimanu Kumar
 3. Shankar Kumar
 4. Sumar Saurav All Sons of Late Nand Lal Prasad.
 5. Meena Kuwar Wife of Late Nand Lal Prasad
 6. Most. Chanda Kuar Mother of Late Nand Lal Prasad. All Resident of Village- Amba, P.S.- Amba (Kutumba), P.O. Amba, District- Aurangabad.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. Shyampati Devi Wife of Ayodhya Prasad and Daughter of Ram Chandra Prasad, Resident of Village- Sigsigi, P.O. & P.S. Bisharampur, District- Palamu, at present Resident of Village and P.O.- Amba, P.S.- Amba (Kutumba), District- Aurangabad.
2. Mohini Kuer Wife of Late Mohan Sao.
3. Raj Kumar.
4. Arun Kumar
5. Sunil Kumar 3 to 5 Sons of Late Mohan Sao, All Residents of Village and P.O. Amba, P.S. Amba (Kutumba), District- Aurangabad.
6. Sangeeta Devi Wife of Ashok Kumar Soni and D/o- Late Mohan Sao, Resident of Village- Obra (Near Masgid), P.O. & P.S.- Obra, District- Aurangabad.
7. Sunita Devi D/o- Late Mohan Sao and Wife of Raju Prasad Soni Resident of Village- No. 1 Gumti Gaya, P.O. & P.S.- Gaya, District- Gaya.
8. Ram Baran Singh, Son of Bindeshwar Singh.
9. Brihaspat Singh
10. Kishundeo Singh
11. Arjun Singh
12. Ajay Singh
13. Surendra Singh All Sons of Ram Baran Singh Resident of Village- Bedauli, P.O. & P.S.- Kutumba, District- Aurangabad.
14. Bijay Kumar Son of Narayan Prasad Resident of Village P.O. and P.S.- Nabinagar, District- Aurangabad.
15. Ram Naresh Singh.
16. Ram Nagina Singh Both Sons of Late Deobansh Singh, Resident of Village- Bairawan, P.O. Parariya, P.S. Simra, District- Aurangabad.
17. Muni Devi Wife of Shankar Bhagat.
18. Ram Rati Devi Wife of Gobardhan Bhagat. Both Resident of Village & P.O.- Amba, P.S.- Amba (Kutumba), District- Aurangabad.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Sunil Srivastava

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-07-2017



Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

By the impugned order, the learned court below has disposed of the petition filed by the petitioners to be considered at the time of final hearing. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the court ought to have considered the pending petitions and thereafter to have proceeded for final hearing.

After considering the submissions and the averments made in the present application, this application is disposed of with direction to the learned court below to consider the pending petitions filed by the petitioners, as directed by earlier order dated 08.08.2016 and the present impugned order dated 20.01.2017, at the time of final hearing of the appeal.

(V. Nath, J)

Nitesh/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	12.07.2017
Transmission Date	

