

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.1287 of 2016

=====

Santosh Kumar S/o Ganesh Paswan, Resident of Mohalla- Teja Tola, Post- Katihar,
District:- Katihar.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
2. The Chairman, Central Selection Board of Constable Bihar, Patna
3. The Inspector General, B.M.P. Bihar, Patna.
4. The D.I.G. Purnea Zone, Purnea.
5. The D.I.G., Patna
6. The Superintendent of Police, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant	:	Mr. Ram Chandra Prasad Bharti, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anil Kr. Verma, AC to AAG-9
For C.S.B.C.	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Binod Kumar Mishra, Advocate
		Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 30-03-2017

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we find no error in the order passed by the learned Writ Court warranting re-consideration.

The appellant cannot compare his case with that of the appellants in L.P.A. No.1221 of 2012. We are also informed that the order passed in L.P.A. No.1221 of 2012 was reviewed subsequently in Civil Review No.103 of 2015 and in fact the writ petition has been dismissed.



Learned counsel for the respondents invites our attention to the order passed in Civil Review No.103 of 2015 reported in 2017 (1) P.L.J.R 599.

In view of these facts, we see no reason to interfere in the matter. The appeal stands dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Narendra/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	07.04.2017
Transmission Date	

