

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 11738 of 2016

=====

Rameshwar Yadav, Son of Late Moti Yadav, Resident of Village- Bhaur
P.S.- Pandaul, District- Madhubani.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through, Secretary Sugar Cane Industry Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Provident Fund, Commissioner, Employees Provident Fund Organization, Patna.
3. The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Employees Provident Fund Organization, Sub Regional Office, Muzaffarpur.
4. The General Manager, Bihar State Sugarcane Corporation Limited Lohat Unit, P.O. Lohat, Via Pandaul, District- Madhubani.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. S. K. Jha, Advocate
For the E.P.F.O.	:	Mr. R. S. Pradhan, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Jainandra Kumar, Advocate
For the B.S.S.C.	:	Mr. Gyan Shankar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Mrigendra Kumar, A.C. to G.A. 4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH

ORAL ORDER

3 30-11-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; State and
Employees Provident Fund Organization.

2. The petitioner has moved the Court for the
following relief:

*“That present Writ application is being
filed to issuance a Writ in the nature of
mandamus for commanding the Respondents to
make payment of monthly family pension to
petitioner in accordance with Family Pension
Scheme 1995 treating him a Member of said
scheme since 1971.”*

3. In view of the fact that earlier also the petitioner



had moved the Court for the same relief in C.W.J.C. No. 20398 of 2013, and the claim of the petitioner was rejected as misconceived by order dated 10.05.2016, the Court does not find that the present writ application is maintainable.

4. Accordingly, both on merits and maintainability, the writ petition stands dismissed.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

U			
---	--	--	--

