

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11163 of 2016

=====

Arun Kumar Son of Birendra Prasad, Resident of Murari Bhawan, Road No-13B,
Rajendra Nagar, P.S.- Rajendra Nagar, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Visheshwaraiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Visheshwaraiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, Patna, Patna Circle, Building Construction Department, Visheshwaraiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Executive Engineer, Patna West Building Division, Danapur, Building Construction Department, Visheshwaraiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
5. The Junior Engineer, Patna West Building Division, Danapur, Patna, Building Construction Department, Visheshwaraiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Priyank Deepak, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Kumar Singh, AC to SC7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 21-02-2017

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In this case, the dispute lies in a very narrow compass as the petitioner is claiming Rs. 11,510.95/- and Rs. 11,656.00/- for the work done by him in pursuance of the agreement no. 163 of (2) and agreement no. 164 of (2) executed with the Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna for repairs, white washing and painting the Flat No. 141 to 144 and Flat No. 161 to 164 respectively.

The petitioner was allotted the work order for the



aforesaid work. Total cost of the work was Rs. 29,622.95. He has submitted the bill but, the amount of Rs. 11,510.95/- and Rs. 11,656.00/- has not been paid to the petitioner.

The petitioner has already filed a representation for payment of the same which is pending before the respondent no.4, the Executive Engineer, Patna, West Building Division, Danapur.

Let the Executive Engineer, Patna, West Building Division should examine the representation of the petitioner which has already been filed before him and if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to the same, he will be obliged to release the fund of the aforesaid amount to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

With the aforementioned observation and direction, this application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	01.03.2017
Transmission Date	

