

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.40229 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -60 Year- 2017 Thana -KUDRA District- BHABHUA (KAIMUR)

=====

1. Abhishek Kumar Gupta @ Abhishek @ Abhishek Gupta Son of
Ramavatar Gupta, R/o Village- Nagaria, P.S.- Chena Sahabad, District-
Hardioi (U.P.).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Nandan Sahay

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Arif

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-09-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in Kudra P.S. Case No. 60
of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections-406, 420, 504, 506 of
the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that from
the written report, it will appear that the entire transaction has been
made between the informant and Neelu Gupta. This petitioner is said to
be brother of accused Neelu Gupta. He is not named in the written
report. His name has come in course of investigation on the basis of
information made by Neelu Gupta.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail is
allowed and it is ordered that the petitioner named above in the event
of his arrest or surrender in the court below within six weeks from the
date of receipt/production of copy of this order, shall be released on



bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with in Kudra P.S. Case No. 60 of 2017 to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabua subject to condition as laid down u/S 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason, will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

A.K.V./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

