

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.45811 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -233 Year- 2016 Thana -CHAKIA District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

=====

1. Sunny Kumar @ Sunny Swatantra, Son of Rakesh Ranjan Chaudhary,
2. Geeta Devi @ Asha Kumari, Wife of Rakesh Ranjan Choudhary,
3. Sonali Kumari, Daughter of Rakesh Ranjan Chaudhary, All residents of
Vill+P.O.- Bhatauliya, P.S.- Saraiya, Dist- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandra Mohan Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Rabindra Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-10-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in Chakia P.S.Case
No.233 of 2016 instituted for the offence under Sections 420 and
406 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is alleged that one Rakesh Ranjan Chaudhary took
Rs.100000/- from 21 persons on the pretext to give them job but
he has misappropriated those amount and no job was provided.
The petitioners are the wife, the daughter and the son of Rakesh
Ranjan Choudhary.

In the facts and circumstances of the case,
prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed.



In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No.233 of 2016, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

AnilKrSinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

