

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.45495 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -98 Year- 2017 Thana -HUSAINGANJ District- SIWAN

- =====
1. Bablu Pandey, son of Nand Kumar Pandey,
 2. Mithun Pandey, son of Nand Kumar Pandey, both resident of village-Bararam, Police Station-Hussainganj, District-Siwan.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2/ 12-10-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Hussainganj**
P.S. Case No.98 of 2017 instituted for the offence under
Section(s) 341, 420, 385, 504/34 Indian Penal Code and Section
27of the Arms Act.

There is allegation against these petitioners that they
made firing upon the Informant and on account of sound of firing
the Informant sustained injuries in his ear-drum.

From the First Information Report itself, it appears
that no injury has been caused to the Informant. It further appears
that there has been land dispute between the parties.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of
the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Hussainganj P.S. Case No.98 of 2017**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of ₹10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Siwan**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

