

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.38389 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -45 Year- 2017 Thana -SAHPUR District- PATNA

=====

Jagarnath Rai, Son of Ram Sohan Rai, Resident of Village- Hatanpur,
P.S.- Sahpur, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-08-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sahpur P.S. Case No. 45 of 2017 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 379, 354, 323 and 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is of trying to outrage modesty of wife of informant and also snatching ear rings of the wife of informant.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that there is case and counter case between the parties and dispute arose with respect to a petty dispute and allegation of snatching ear rings is ornamental in nature and the petitioner and informant are full brothers.



Heard learned APP also.

Having heard both sides and in view of facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, named above, surrender in the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order and on his so surrendering he shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Danapur, in connection with Sahpur P.S. Case No. 45 of 2017, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and further conditions that :-

- (i) One of the bailors should be a local person having sufficient immoveable properties within the jurisdiction of court concerned,
- (ii) Petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation and make himself available before the police as and when required and in the event of failure on his part to appear before the police on two consecutive dates, the prosecution shall be free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds,



(iii) Petitioner will not induce any witness or
tamper with the evidence.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

