

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.5721 of 2013

Arising out of

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 11328 of 2009

=====

Ram Balak Thakur S/O Late Akhileshwar Thakur Resident Of Village Gaura-I, Post Office Gaura-I, P.S. Teghara, District Begusarai, At Present Posted And Working As An Assistant Teacher In Upgraded Government Middle School- Urdu Pipra Nonpur, Anchal - Teghara, District Begusarai.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Shri Amarjit Sinha The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. Shri Amarjit Sinha, The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Rameshwar Singh, The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Health Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Shashi Bhushan Pathak, The Deputy Secretary, Finance (Pay Fixation) Department, Government Of Bihar, Patna.
5. Sri Ajay Chaudhary, The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
6. Sri Binod Kumar, The Special Director, Primary And Adult Education, Bihar, Patna.
7. Sri S.M. Raju, The Regional Deputy Director Of Education, Munger Division, Munger.
8. Sri Anil Kumar, The District Superintendent Of Education, Begusarai (Now District Programme Officer, (Establishment)).
9. Sri Manoj Kumar, The District Magistrate, Begusarai.
10. Dhananjay The Deputy Development Commissioner-Cum-Chairman, District Education Establishment Committee, Begusarai.
11. Sri Binod Kumar Jha, The District Treasury Officer, Begusarai.
12. Sri Prithwi Raj Srivastava, The District Accounts Officer, Begusarai.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-07-2017

Keeping in view the order passed by the respondents as contained in Annexure-A dated 25.08.2014 and the revision undertaken as is evident from the statement -A annexed to the said



Annexure-A, no further action is required to be taken in the matter. In case the petitioner has any grievance with regard to the manner in which the calculations have been done and the benefit conferred upon him, the petitioner may challenge the same afresh in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid, the contempt application stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

P.K.P.

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	25.07.2017
Transmission Date	

