

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19022 of 2013

=====

Ram Pramod Sah, Son of Sri Ram Ekbal Sah, Resident of Village-
Bhagwanpur, Bheli Nanhkar, P.O. Sheohar, P.S.- Sheohar, District- Sheohar

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna
2. The Collector, Sheohar, District- Sheohar
3. The Addl. Collector (Revenue), Sheohar, District- Sheohar
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sheohar, District- Sheohar
5. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Sheohar, District- Sheohar
6. The Circle Officer, Sheohar, District- Sheohar
7. The Officer-In-Charge, Sheohar Police Station, District- Sheohar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Mohan, Adv.
For the State : Mr. V. K. Yadav, AC to GA-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-06-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
AC to GA-10.

The present writ application has been filed for quashing of Memo No. 442, dated 13/07/2013, contained in Annexure-15, whereby, respondent no.3, the Additional Collector (Revenue), Sheohar, instructed respondent no.6, the Circle Officer, Sheohar, to act upon the representation of some of the persons submitted to the Hon'ble Minister, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, as contained in Annexure-14, for settlement of the land of the petitioner in their favour since



they have constructed their houses over it. Further prayer has been made to get the encroachment removed over the land of the petitioner appertaining to Mauza-132, Plot Nos. 38 and 40, Khata No. 30, situated in the district of Sheohar in view of the order dated 04/07/2012, passed in Land Encroachment Case No. 134/12-13, by the DCLR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's case has chequered history as he has approached this Court on several occasions for a direction to get the encroachment removed from his raiyatee land but at present the petitioner confines his prayer for a direction to Respondent No.2, the Collector, Sheohar to dispose of the representation, as contained in Annexure-16, submitted by the petitioner for not acting on the representation of some people dated 02/08/2013, as contained in Annexure-16, for settlement of the land of the petitioner.

Learned AC to G.A.10 agrees for disposal of the writ petition in terms of direction to Respondent No.2, the Collector, Sheohar, to dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 02/08/2013 as contained in Annexure-16.

Accordingly, the writ application is disposed of with a direction to Respondent No.2, the Collector, Sheohar, to dispose of the representation of the petitioner within a period of six weeks



of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

It is made clear that this Court has not made any observation with regard to the merits of the claim of either party.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

Ashwini/-

U			
---	--	--	--

